

|   |                                      |   |  |
|---|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>09/778,996 | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>PAPATHOMAS,<br>KONSTANTINOS I. |  |
|   | <b>Examiner</b><br>Robert Sellers    | <b>Art Unit</b><br>1712                               |  |

**All Participants:**

(1) Robert Sellers.

(2) Jack P. Friedman.

**Status of Application:** After Final rejection

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 8 March 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☐ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*35 U.S.C. 102(e) and 103(a) rejections*

**Claims discussed:**

*1, 51-53, 41 and 75-77*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*Shiobara et al. Patent No. 6,376,100; Christie et al. Patent No. 5,668,059 and Johansson et al. Patent No. 6,090,474*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See the attached response.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)